

Supplementary Online Material

Contents:

1. Table A1. Gender-Specific Descriptive Statistics Including RWA Subscales
2. Table A2. Big Five's Correlations (Zero-Order) with Political Outcomes (Including SDO and RWA with Subscales) in the German Sample
3. Table A3. Zero-Order Correlations of all WoM-scores and Self-Placement, RWA & SDO.
4. Table A4. Statistics on the Dual Predictor Models.
5. Table A5. Correlations between Personality, RWA, SDO and Political Outcomes in all Samples.
6. Table A6. Hierarchical Regression Analyses including RWA and SDO as Second-Block predictors.
7. Table A7. Complete Mediation Models.
8. WoM Items

Table A1. Gender-Specific Descriptive Statistics Including RWA Subscales

Variables		Mean	SD	α
NEO-FFI				
N=1891 (1219)	Neuroticism*** †††	2.82 (2.77)	.71 (.69)	.876 (.880)
	male	2.63 (2.56)	.69 (.65)	
	female	2.95 (2.90)	.69 (.68)	
	Extraversion*** †††	3.24 (3.25)	.56 (.55)	.811 (.819)
	male	3.20 (3.18)	.55 (.54)	
	female	3.28 (3.29)	.56 (.55)	
	Openness	3.64 (3.61)	.55 (.54)	.766 (.766)
	male	3.65 (3.61)	.55 (.54)	
	female	3.64 (3.61)	.55 (.53)	
	Agreeableness*** †††	3.64 (3.66)	.50 (.49)	.763 (.785)
	male	3.53 (3.53)	.51 (.50)	
	female	3.71 (3.74)	.47 (.47)	
Conscientiousness*** †††	3.62 (3.66)	.58 (.57)	.851 (.851)	
male	3.53 (3.58)	.58 (.57)		
female	3.68 (3.70)	.57 (.56)		
RWA				
N=1891 (1219)	Total	15.73 (15.87)	4.08 (4.00)	.711 (.728)
	male	15.57 (15.67)	4.47 (4.43)	
	female	15.84 (15.97)	3.80 (3.65)	
	Conventionalism	5.13 (5.10)	1.75 (1.67)	.619 (.642)
	male	5.10 (5.08)	1.90 (1.82)	
	female	5.15 (5.11)	1.66 (1.58)	
	Submission	4.80 (4.84)	1.62 (1.54)	.289 (.331)
	male	4.85 (4.83)	1.74 (1.71)	
	female	4.77 (4.84)	1.53 (1.44)	
	Aggression* †††	5.80 (5.93)	1.95 (1.85)	.684 (.663)
	male	5.62 (5.77)	2.05 (1.99)	
	female	5.92 (6.03)	1.87 (1.75)	
SDO				
N=1891 (1219)	Total*** †††	2.54 (2.59)	1.06 (.99)	.920 (.918)

WoM2013

N=1371 (699)	Total*** †††	-54.69 (-54.94)	12.91 (13.05)	-
	male	-51.48 (-52.55)	13.81 (14.30)	
	female	-57.09 (-56.55)	11.65 (11.88)	
Accordance with...	CDU/CSU* †††	43.78 (45.98)	10.01 (10.15)	-
	male	45.47 (47.08)	10.88 (11.66)	
	female	42.52 (45.24)	9.12 (8.92)	
	SPD*** †††	62.39 (63.91)	8.37 (7.96)	-
	male	60.92 (62.65)	8.78 (8.74)	
	female	63.50 (64.77)	7.88 (7.26)	
	FDP †††	50.19 (51.73)	8.69 (8.74)	-
	male	51.16 (52.43)	9.40 (9.96)	
	female	49.47 (51.26)	8.04 (7.78)	
	Die Gruenen* †††	66.48 (67.10)	10.94 (11.28)	-
	male	64.87 (66.07)	11.52 (12.15)	
	female	67.69 (67.80)	10.32 (10.61)	
	Die Linke*** †††	67.56 (67.71)	12.33 (12.61)	-
	male	64.66 (65.73)	13.24 (13.97)	
	female	69.72 (69.05)	11.14 (11.43)	
	AfD*** †††	45.32 (46.67)	10.19 (10.25)	-
	male	48.08 (48.96)	10.91 (11.45)	
	female	43.26 (45.12)	9.10 (9.04)	
Self-Placement				
N=1891 (1219)	Left-Right ††	3.26 (3.25)	1.26 (1.09)	-
	male	3.36 (3.30)	1.33 (1.19)	
	female	3.20 (3.21)	1.20 (1.02)	

Note: Numbers in Brackets Refer to German Participants Only. * German Sample Gender Difference Significant at $p \leq .05$, ** $\leq .01$, *** $\leq .001$. † Total Sample Gender Difference Significant at $p \leq .05$, †† $\leq .01$, ††† $\leq .001$.

Table A2. Big Five's Correlations (Zero-Order) with Political Outcomes (Including SDO and RWA with Subscales) in the German Sample

Variables	N	E	O	A	C
Self-Placement					
Left-Right	-.044	-.008	-.298***	-.200***	.178***
WoM					
Total	-.114**	-.049	-.336***	-.316***	.169***
Accordance with...					
CDU/CSU	-.202***	.102**	-.391***	-.088*	.230***
SPD	-.026	.148***	.035	.271***	.002
FDP	-.171***	.073	-.211***	-.029	.121**
Die Gruenen	.032	.072	.346***	.302***	-.148***
Die Linke	.120**	.017	.347***	.282***	-.177***
AfD	-.210***	.011	-.308***	-.229***	.185***
SDO	-.044	-.085**	-.348***	-.398***	.087**
RWA					
General	.031	.008	-.468***	-.160***	.201***
Submission	.036	.017	-.333***	-.103***	.132***
Aggression	.032	-.013	-.394***	-.164***	.179***
Conventionalism	.004	.017	-.364***	-.103***	.157***

Note: N = Neuroticism, E = Extraversion, O = Openness, A = Agreeableness, C = Conscientiousness; N=1219 (Except for Calculations Entailing WoM-Variables, here: N=699); * p ≤ .05, ** ≤ .01, *** ≤ .001.

Table A3. Zero-Order Correlations of WoM and Self-Placement, RWA & SDO.

WoM	Self-Placement (Left-Right)	RWA	SDO
Total	.665*** (.588***)	.555*** (.499***)	.629*** (.624***)
CDU/CSU	.539*** (.491***)	.452*** (.421***)	.465*** (.489***)
SPD	-.315*** (-.336***)	-.228*** (-.236***)	-.337*** (-.381***)
FDP	.260*** (.284***)	.118** (.108***)	.240*** (.236***)
Die Gruenen	-.615*** (-.523***)	-.591*** (-.543***)	-.602*** (-.597***)
Die Linke	-.667*** (-.582***)	-.553*** (-.490***)	-.626*** (-.619***)
AfD	.547*** (.506***)	.402*** (.360***)	.488*** (.481***)

Note: N=699 German Participants (1371 Participants across all Samples); * $p \leq .05$, ** $\leq .01$, *** $\leq .001$.

Table A4. Statistics on the Dual Predictor Models.

	Y	Total Effect Model	Multiple Predictor Model	Indirect Effect	95% Bootstrap-Interval
Model Summary	Self Placement	$F_{(4, 1208)} = 30.97,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .09$	$F_{(6, 1206)} = 107.73,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .35$		
Standardized coefficients					
X: Openness		-.30***	-.04		
M1: RWA			.33***	-.14	-.18; -.12
M2: SDO			.34***	-.11	-.14; -.09
Contrast				-.03	-.08; .01
Model Summary	WoM-Total	$F_{(4, 694)} = 35.97,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .17$	$F_{(6, 692)} = 115.01,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .50$		
Standardized coefficients					
X: Openness		-.31***	-.02		
M1: RWA			.30***	-.14	-.18; -.11
M2: SDO			.44***	-.15	-.19; -.11
Contrast				.01	-.05; .07
Model Summary	Self-Placement	$F_{(4, 1208)} = 13.61,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .04$	$F_{(6, 1206)} = 110.13,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .35$		
Standardized coefficients					

	Y	Total Effect Model	Multiple Predictor Model	Indirect Effect	95% Bootstrap-Interval
X: Conscientiousness		.19***	.08***		
M1: RWA			.32***	.07	.05; .09
M2: SDO			.34***	.04	.02; .06
Contrast				.03	.01; .05
Model Summary	WoM-Total	$F_{(4, 694)} = 21.58,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .11$	$F_{(6, 692)} = 117.99,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .51$		
Standardized coefficients					
X: Conscientiousness		.19***	.09**		
M1: RWA			.29***	.06	.04; .09
M2: SDO			.45***	.04	.003; .07
Contrast				.03	-.01; .06
Model Summary	Self-Placement	$F_{(4, 1208)} = 14.73,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .05$	$F_{(6, 1206)} = 107.4,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .35$		
Standardized coefficients					
X: Agreeableness		-.20***	-.02		
M1: RWA			.34***	-.05	-.08; -.03
M2: SDO			.33***	-.13	-.16; -.10

	Y	Total Effect Model	Multiple Predictor Model	Indirect Effect	95% Bootstrap-Interval
Contrast				.07	.04; .11
Model Summary	WoM-Total	$F_{(4, 694)} = 32.72,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .16$	$F_{(6, 692)} = 117.34,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .50$		
Standardized coefficients					
X: Agreeableness		-.30***	-.08**		
M1: RWA			.32***	-.04	-.07; -.01
M2: SDO			.41***	-.17	-.21; -.13
Contrast				.13	.08; .18

Note: Y = Criterion; X = Predictor; M = Mediator; Standardized Coefficients and Completely Standardized Indirect Effects are Reported. Age, Gender and Educational Status were Entered as Covariates in all Models. * $\leq .05$; ** $\leq .01$; *** $\leq .001$.

Table A5. Correlations between Personality, RWA, SDO and Political Outcomes in all Samples.

Correlation	Germany	USA	Bulgaria	Spain	Turkey	Denmark	Australia	Sweden
<i>Self-Placement and...</i>								
Openness	-.298***	-.338*** (.52)	-.135 (-1.37)	-.261** (-.40)	-.274* (-.21)	-.126 (-2.00*)	-.330** (.30)	-.289* (-.07)
Agreeableness	-.200***	-.197* (-.04)	-.139 (-.50)	-.341*** (1.50)	-.466*** (2.48**)	-.133 (-.75)	-.251* (.45)	-.338* (1.05)
Conscientiousness	.178***	.196* (-.22)	.021 (1.27)	.013 (1.64*)	-.149 (2.70**)	-.044 (2.42**)	.052 (1.07)	.136 (.30)
<i>RWA and...</i>								
Openness	-.468***	-.450*** (-.27)	-.257* (-1.95*)	-.479*** (.14)	-.472*** (.04)	-.406*** (-.83)	-.322** (-1.50)	-.121 (-2.73**)
Agreeableness	-.160***	-.122 (-.45)	-.153 (-.06)	-.167 (.07)	-.253* (.80)	-.198* (.42)	-.186 (.22)	-.325* (1.24)
Conscientiousness	.201***	.193* (.10)	.037 (1.33)	.117 (.85)	.188 (.11)	.080 (1.34)	.213 (-1.11)	.062 (1.00)
<i>SDO and...</i>								
Openness	-.348***	-.384*** (.49)	-.169 (-1.53)	-.362*** (.16)	-.205 (-1.27)	-.318*** (-.36)	-.344** (-.04)	-.130 (-1.64*)
Agreeableness	-.398***	-.361*** (-.50)	-.257* (-1.26)	-.461*** (.76)	-.283* (-1.07)	-.296** (-1.25)	-.325** (-.70)	-.385** (-1.11)
Conscientiousness	.087**	.175* (-1.05)	-.037 (.99)	.122 (-.35)	.005 (.67)	-.035 (1.32)	.032 (.46)	.131 (-.32)
<i>WoM-Total and...</i>								
Openness	-.336***	-.345*** (.12)	-.038 (-2.48**)	-.387*** (.58)	-.458*** (1.19)	-.263** (-.87)	-.302** (-.32)	-.070 (-1.97*)
Agreeableness	-.316***	-.233** (-1.05)	-.292* (-.21)	-.434*** (1.35)	-.319** (.03)	-.309*** (-.08)	-.353** (.35)	-.256 (-.46)
Conscientiousness	.169***	.231** (-1.24)	-.255* (3.44***)	.161 (.08)	-.114 (2.34**)	.013 (1.70*)	.032 (1.16)	.236 (-.49)

Note: Numbers in Brackets are Z-Scores of the Comparison Between the Depicted Correlation with the Respective One in Germany. * $\leq .05$; ** $\leq .01$; *** $\leq .001$.

Table A6. Hierarchical Regression Analyses including RWA and SDO as Second-Block predictors.

Sample	Self-Placement			WoM Total		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Germany (N = 1219)	F _(3, 1209) = 3.49*, adj. R ² = .01	F _(6, 1206) = 35.63***, adj. R ² = .146, ΔR ² = .142	F _(5, 1207) = 128.79***; adj. R ² = .35; ΔR ² = .339	F _(3, 695) = 19.32***; adj. R ² = .073	F _(6, 692) = 41.74***, adj. R ² = .259, ΔR ² = .189	F _(5, 693) = 138.02***; adj. R ² = .50; ΔR ² = .422
		O (-.24***) C (.20***) A (-.20***)	RWA (.34***) SDO (.34***)		O (-.25***) C (.18***) A (-.29***)	RWA (.31***) SDO (.45***)
USA (N = 156)	F _(2, 153) = .31, adj. R ² = -.01	F _(5, 150) = 5.48***, adj. R ² = .13, ΔR ² = .15	F _(4, 151) = 37.45***, adj. R ² = .49, ΔR ² = .49	F _(2, 153) = 7.73**, adj. R ² = .08, ΔR ² = .16	F _(5, 150) = 10.22***, adj. R ² = .23, ΔR ² = .16	F _(4, 151) = 48.67***, adj. R ² = .55, ΔR ² = .47
		O (-.28***) C (.16*) A (-.13)	RWA (.55***) SDO (.27***)		O (-.28***) C (.23**) A (-.09)	RWA (.33***) SDO (.50***)
BGR (N = 70)	F _(2, 67) = 3.66*, adj. R ² = .07	F _(5, 64) = 1.86, adj. R ² = .06, ΔR ² = .03	F _(4, 65) = 4.98**, adj. R ² = .19, ΔR ² = .14	F _(2, 67) = 4.73*, adj. R ² = .10	F _(5, 64) = 4.76**, adj. R ² = .21, ΔR ² = .15	F _(4, 65) = 4.30**, adj. R ² = .16, ΔR ² = .09
		O (-.08) C (.02) A (-.14)	RWA (-.05) SDO (.38**)		O (.00) C (-.27*) A (-.23*)	RWA (.11) SDO (.26*)
ESP (N = 108)	F _(2, 105) = .01, adj. R ² = -.02,	F _(5, 102) = 3.68**, adj. R ² = .11,	F _(4, 103) = 11.05***, adj. R ² = .27,	F _(2, 105) = 2.17, adj. R ² = .02	F _(5, 102) = 10.45***, adj. R ² = .31,	F _(4, 103) = 22.54***, adj. R ² = .45,

Sample	Self-Placement			WoM Total		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model1	Model 2	Model 3
		$\Delta R^2 = .15$	$\Delta R^2 = .30$		$\Delta R^2 = .30$	$\Delta R^2 = .43$
		O (-.17)	RWA (.39***)		O (-.26**)	RWA (.21*)
		C (.05)	SDO (.24*)		C (.25**)	SDO (.53***)
		A (-.32**)			A (-.38***)	
DNK (N = 132)	$F_{(2, 129)} = 2.77$, adj. $R^2 = .03$	$F_{(5, 126)} = 1.64$, adj. $R^2 = .02$, $\Delta R^2 = .02$	$F_{(4, 127)} = 12.73^{***}$, adj. $R^2 = .26$, $\Delta R^2 = .25$	$F_{(2, 129)} = 14.85^{***}$, adj. $R^2 = .18$	$F_{(5, 126)} = 11.65^{***}$, adj. $R^2 = .29$, $\Delta R^2 = .13$	$F_{(4, 127)} = 38.73^{***}$, adj. $R^2 = .54$, $\Delta R^2 = .36$
		O (-.07)	RWA (.14)		O (-.15)	RWA (.13)
		C (-.01)	SDO (.46***)		C (.08)	SDO (.59***)
		A (-.11)			A (-.29***)	
AUS (N = 77)	$F_{(2, 74)} = 4.08^*$, adj. $R^2 = .08$, $\Delta R^2 = .13$	$F_{(5, 71)} = 4.26^{**}$, adj. $R^2 = .18$, $\Delta R^2 = .13$	$F_{(4, 72)} = 9.98^{***}$, adj. $R^2 = .32$, $\Delta R^2 = .26$	$F_{(2, 74)} = 1.34$, adj. $R^2 = .01$, $\Delta R^2 = .04$	$F_{(5, 71)} = 3.80^{**}$, adj. $R^2 = .16$, $\Delta R^2 = .18$	$F_{(4, 72)} = 20.06^{***}$, adj. $R^2 = .50$, $\Delta R^2 = .49$
		O (-.26*)	RWA (.32**)		O (-.22)	RWA (.28**)
		C (.05)	SDO (.31**)		C (.07)	SDO (.57***)
		A (-.20)			A (-.31**)	
SWE (N = 55)	$F_{(2, 52)} = .20$, adj. $R^2 = -.03$, $\Delta R^2 = .01$	$F_{(5, 49)} = 3.20^*$, adj. $R^2 = .17$, $\Delta R^2 = .24$	$F_{(4, 50)} = 11.70^{***}$, adj. $R^2 = .44$, $\Delta R^2 = .48$	$F_{(2, 52)} = .89$, adj. $R^2 = .00$	$F_{(5, 49)} = 2.37$, adj. $R^2 = .11$, $\Delta R^2 = .16$	$F_{(4, 50)} = 15.26^{***}$, adj. $R^2 = .51$, $\Delta R^2 = .52$
		O (-.27*)	RWA (.22)		O (-.02)	RWA (.02)
		C (.18)	SDO (.56***)		C (.30*)	SDO (.73***)

Sample	Self-Placement			WoM Total		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model1	Model 2	Model 3
		A (-.40**)			A (-.34*)	
TUR (N = 74)	F _(2, 71) = 4.38*, adj. R ² = .09	F _(5, 68) = 4.97**, adj. R ² = .21, ΔR ² = .16	F _(4, 69) = 3.40*, adj. R ² = .12, ΔR ² = .06	F _(2, 71) = 2.50, adj. R ² = .04	F _(5, 68) = 5.06**, adj. R ² = .22, ΔR ² = .21	F _(4, 69) = 9.24***, adj. R ² = .31, ΔR ² = .28
		O (-.12)	RWA (.22)		O (-.42***)	RWA (.47***)
		C (-.02)	SDO (.05)		C (-.06)	SDO (.22*)
		A (-.35**)			A (-.06)	

Note: Predictors: Age, Gender (Model 1) plus Openness, Conscientiousness & Agreeableness (Model 2) or RWA & SDO (Model 3). p ≤ .05, ** ≤ .01, *** p ≤ .001.

Table A7. Complete Mediation Models

	Y	Total Effect Model	Multiple Predictor Model	Indirect Effect	95% Bootstrap-Interval
Model Summary	Self-Placement	$F_{(4, 1208)} = 30.97,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .09$	$F_{(5, 1207)} = 89.91,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .27$		
Standardized coefficients					
X: Openness		-.30***	-.08**		
M: RWA			.48***	-.22	-.25; -.18
Model Summary	Self Placement	$F_{(4, 1208)} = 30.97,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .09$	$F_{(5, 1207)} = 95.95,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .28$		
Standardized coefficients					
X: Openness		-.30***	-.14***		
M: SDO			.47***	-.16	-.19; -.13
Model Summary	Self Placement	$F_{(4, 1208)} = 30.97,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .09$	$F_{(6, 1206)} = 107.73,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .35$		
Standardized coefficients					
X: Openness		-.30***	-.04		
M1: RWA			.33***	-.14	-.18; -.12

	Y	Total Effect Model	Multiple Predictor Model	Indirect Effect	95% Bootstrap-Interval
M2:SDO			.34***	-.11	-.14; -.09
Contrast				-.03	-.08; .01
Model Summary	WoM Total	F _(4, 694) = 35.97, p < .001, R ² = .17	F _(5, 693) = 78.45, p < .001, R ² = .36		
Standardized coefficients					
X: Openness		-.31***	-.07*		
M: RWA			.51***	-.24	-.28; -.20
Model Summary	WoM Total	F _(4, 694) = 35.97, p < .001, R ² = .17	F _(5, 693) = 111.08, p < .001, R ² = .44		
Standardized coefficients					
X: Openness		-.31***	-.12***		
M: SDO			.57***	-.19	-.24; -.15
Model Summary	WoM Total	F _(4, 694) = 35.97, p < .001, R ² = .17	F _(6, 692) = 115.01, p < .001, R ² = .50		

	Y	Total Effect Model	Multiple Predictor Model	Indirect Effect	95% Bootstrap-Interval
Standardized coefficients					
X: Openness		-.31***	-.02		
M1: RWA			.30***	-.14	-.18; -.11
M2: SDO			.44***	-.15	-.19; -.11
Contrast				.01	-.05; .07
Model Summary	Self-Placement	F _(4, 1208) = 13.61, p < .001, R ² = .04	F _(5, 1207) = 90.41, p < .001, R ² = .27		
Standardized coefficients					
X: Conscientiousness		.19***	.08**		
M: RWA			.50***	.11	.08; .14
Model Summary	Self-Placement	F _(4, 1208) = 13.61, p < .001, R ² = .04	F _(5, 1207) = 96.34, p < .001, R ² = .29		
Standardized coefficients					
X: Conscientiousness		.19***	.13***		

	Y	Total Effect Model	Multiple Predictor Model	Indirect Effect	95% Bootstrap-Interval
M: SDO			.50***	.06	.03; .09
Model Summary	Self-Placement	$F_{(4, 1208)} = 13.61,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .04$	$F_{(6, 1206)} = 110.13,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .35$		
Standardized coefficients					
X: Conscientiousness		.19***	.08***		
M1: RWA			.32***	.07	.05; .09
M2:SDO			.34***	.04	.02; .06
Contrast				.03	.01; .05
Model Summary	WoM Total	$F_{(4, 694)} = 21.58,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .11$	$F_{(5, 693)} = 78.55,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .36$		
Standardized coefficients					
X: Conscientiousness		.19***	.07*		
M: RWA			.53***	.12	.08; .16

	Y	Total Effect Model	Multiple Predictor Model	Indirect Effect	95% Bootstrap-Interval
Model Summary	WoM Total	$F_{(4, 694)} = 21.58,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .11$	$F_{(5, 693)} = 113.50,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .45$		
Standardized coefficients					
X: Conscientiousness		.19***	.14***		
M: SDO			.60***	.05	.004; .09
Model Summary	WoM Total	$F_{(4, 694)} = 21.58,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .11$	$F_{(6, 692)} = 117.99,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .51$		
Standardized coefficients					
X: Conscientiousness		.19***	.09**		
M1: RWA			.29***	.06	.04; .09
M2:SDO			.45***	.04	.003; .07
Contrast				.03	-.01; .06
Model Summary	Self-Placement	$F_{(4, 1208)} = 14.73,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .05$	$F_{(5, 1207)} = 93.98,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .28$		
Standardized coefficients					

	Y	Total Effect Model	Multiple Predictor Model	Indirect Effect	95% Bootstrap-Interval
X: Agreeableness		-.20***	-.12***		
M: RWA			.50***	-.08	-.11; -.05
Model Summary	Self-Placement	$F_{(4, 1208)} = 14.73,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .05$	$F_{(5, 1207)} = 88.69,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .27$		
Standardized coefficients					
X: Agreeableness		-.20***	.00		
M: SDO			.52***	-.20	-.23; -.16
Model Summary	Self-Placement	$F_{(4, 1208)} = 14.73,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .05$	$F_{(6, 1206)} = 107.4,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .35$		
Standardized coefficients					
X: Agreeableness		-.20***	-.02		
M1: RWA			.34***	-.05	-.08; -.03
M2: SDO			.33***	-.13	-.16; -.10
Contrast				.07	.04; .11

	Y	Total Effect Model	Multiple Predictor Model	Indirect Effect	95% Bootstrap-Interval
Model Summary	WoM Total	$F_{(4, 694)} = 32.72,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .16$	$F_{(5, 693)} = 94.02,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .40$		
Standardized coefficients					
X: Agreeableness		-.30***	-.23***		
M: RWA			.52***	-.07	-.12; -.03
Model Summary	WoM Total	$F_{(4, 694)} = 32.72,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .16$	$F_{(5, 693)} = 106.29,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .43$		
Standardized coefficients					
X: Agreeableness		-.30***	-.05		
M: SDO			.58***	-.24	-.29; -.20
Model Summary	WoM Total	$F_{(4, 694)} = 32.72,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .16$	$F_{(6, 692)} = 117.34,$ $p < .001, R^2 = .50$		
Standardized coefficients					
X: Agreeableness		-.30***	-.08**		

	Y	Total Effect Model	Multiple Predictor Model	Indirect Effect	95% Bootstrap-Interval
M1: RWA			.32***	-.04	-.07; -.01
M2: SDO			.41***	-.17	-.21; -.13
Contrast				.13	.08; .18

Note: Y = Criterion; X = Predictor; M = Mediator; standardized coefficients and completely standardized indirect effects are reported. Age, gender and educational status were entered as covariates in all models. * $\leq .05$; ** $\leq .01$; *** $\leq .001$.

WoM 2013 Items (US translation)

1. In this state, a legal minimum wage should be introduced, affecting all branches and regions.
2. In my opinion, parents who do not send their kids to day care/kindergarden should receive child care subsidy instead.
3. In my opinion, a general speed limit on motorways would be reasonable.
4. If the concerned state did have the "Euro" as its national currency, it should keep it.
5. I think a stronger government-run regulation of electricity prices would be useful.
6. In my opinion, video surveillance of public places should be extended.
7. I support the introduction of an unconditional basic income.
8. In my opinion, only *ecological* agriculture should be (financially) sponsored.
9. I think all children - regardless of their cultural background - should be taught together.
10. I support an enhancement of the maximum tax rate.
11. If the concerned state was part of the NATO, I would support its resignation from the NATO.
12. I support the prohibition of new coal station constructions.
13. In my opinion, emergency contraceptions should only be available on prescription.
14. In my opinion, all banks of the concerned state should be state-owned.
15. I support intake of numerous refugees into the concerned state.
16. In my opinion, the state should pay compensatory wages to people temporarily caring for their relatives.
17. I think banning anticonstitutional political parties should be allowed.
18. In my opinion, legal financial support on education should be payed independently of parental income.
19. I support the reintroduction of entry controls on the concerned state's boarder.
20. I support a legal female quota for supervisory committees and managing boards.
21. In my opinion, financially strong federal states should not support financially weak states as much.
22. If in the concerned state the current retirement age was 67, I would support a decrease.
23. In my opinion, the state should increasingly hire people with migratory background for public service.
24. In my opinion, export of weapons should be prohibited.
25. I support the preservation of marital tax splitting regarding personal income tax.
26. In my opinion, if the concerned state was part of the EU, it should be supporting Turkey's accession to the EU.
27. In my opinion, representatives of the state's parliament should be obligated to publicly reveal all of their additional income.
28. In my opinion, energy-intensive Industries should take a greater part in funding the energy transition/revolution.
29. I approve of people who decline a job-offer to have their social welfare cut.

30. I support the state collecting church tax for religious communities.
31. In my opinion, every citizen should be covered by legal health insurance.
32. In my opinion, every state belonging to the eurozone should be liable for their own national debt.
33. In my opinion, same-sex civil partnerships should receive shared right to adopt children.
34. I think the government's permanent storage of communication data (for example Telephone, Internet) for no specific reason, should not be legal.
35. In my opinion, increasing the rent of new tenancies should be limited.
36. In my opinion, citizens of legal age should not be able to hold citizenship of more than one country.
37. In my opinion, using the highway should be charged.
38. I support the introduction of referendums on a federal level.